Optimizing Trading Strategies Without Overfitting

Ernie Chan, Ph.D. and Ray Ng, Ph.D. QTS Capital Management, LLC.

Typical Backtest Workflow



Optimizing Trading Signals

- Optimize trading strategy ≈ Optimize sum(PLs) by tweaking trading signals.
- Number(trading signals) << Number(prices) typically.
 - Easy to cherry-pick trading signals for optimization.
 - Overfitting/data Snooping Bias.
 - No predictive power on unseen/out-of-sample data!

Remedies for Overfitting

- Increase length of historical backtest period.
 - Subject to data availability
 - Regime changes \Rightarrow old prices may be irrelevant.
- Create mathematical model of historical prices, then analytically find optimal trading signals
 - Effectively infinite backtest period.
 - Historical price models tend to be oversimplified.
 - Only analytically solvable for Trading Signals and performance objective linearly related to prices.

Remedies for Overfitting

- Simulate historical prices with similar statistics as actual historical prices.
 - As large number of price series as practical.
 - Can capture as many quirks of actual historical prices as necessary.
 - E.g. serial correlation, volatility clustering, tail events, ...
 - Can be used to optimize nonlinear trading signals and performance objectives.

Analytical Optimization

- Example: a mean-reverting log price series x.
- Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$dx(t) = \kappa \big(\theta - x(t)\big)dt + \sigma dW(t)$$

 κ : rate of mean reversion

 θ : mean log price level

 σ : conditional volatility of x

W: random walk

- What are optimal entry/exit levels?
 - Optimal ≡ maximum expected (discounted) profit for single round-trip trade.
 - Similar to optimal Bollinger bands.

Solving HJB

- *Cartea, 2015* demonstrated solution using Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (a PDE), familiar from stochastic control theory.
- Numerical solution to equation shows
 - Entry and exit levels are *asymmetric* w.r.t. mean, due to discount factor.
 - Entry level closer to mean level than exit level.
 - Distance of entry / exit levels to mean increases with decreasing κ .
 - Distance of entry / exit levels to mean increases with increasing σ .
 - (Last 2 points expected because unconditional volatility is $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{2\kappa}} \Leftrightarrow$ width of Bollinger bands.)
 - Long exit = short entry, vice versa.
 - Position is path-dependent.
 - Always in either long or short position.

Optimal Entry and Exit



Analytical Optimization

- What if underlying price prices are not described by simple SDE like OU process?
 - Jumps, volatility clustering, long range correlations, etc.
- What if objective function is not discounted profit but a nonlinear function of PL?

- Sharpe ratio, Calmar ratio, etc.

- What if objective function is total PL, not PL per trade?
- Even setting up HJB equation is too difficult.

Simulation for optimization

• We can simulate as many copies of price series as we like.

- All follow the same time series model, e.g. AR(p).

• Find trading parameters that **maximizes the average** Sharpe ratio over all simulated price series.

– Similar to solving HJB equation.

- Alternatively, find trading parameters that most often maximizes Sharpe ratio of a simulated price series.
 - Similar to maximum likelihood estimation.



Example: AUDCAD

- ADF test indicates hourly AUDCAD prices are stationary with p-Value better than 1%.
- Assume AR(1) model on daily log prices x.

$$x(t) = a_1 x(t-1) + a_0 + \sigma_0 \epsilon(t)$$
$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- For illustrative purpose only.
- Train (a_0, a_1, σ_0) on first half of data using MLE.

Optimal trading of AUDCAD

• Simulate 10,000 log price series based on fitted AR(1).

- Each series is about 3.7 years (~10 x halflife).

- On each series, backtest a simple strategy: Buy if expected log return > $k\sigma_0$ Sell if expected log return < $-k\sigma_0$ Flatten otherwise.
- Apply 1.8 bps per side transaction cost.

Simulation Results

• Maximizing the average Sharpe ratio gives optimal *k*=0.0088±0.0002.

 $Argmax_k \{E_{path}[Sharpe(path)|k]\}$

- In contrast, k=0.01±0.006 maximizes the likelihood that a path has highest Sharpe ratio Argmax_k{P_{path}[Argmax_k[Sharpe(k, path)]]}
- In general, the first method is more accurate since all paths are used to determine E_{path} .



OOS Backtest Optimal Parameter



OOS Backtest Suboptimal Parameter



Suboptimal > optimal?

- Backtest of "optimal" parameter underperforms that of "suboptimal" parameter out-of-sample.
- AR(1) model may need refitting periodically.
- Nobody promises that for a particular realized path, our optimal k will maximize Sharpe!
 - It is worth trading a range of k in the vicinity of the optimal for diversification.
- See similar work by Carr and Lopez de Prado, 2014.

Further work

- Can easily optimize other nonlinear functions of prices instead
 - Calmar ratio.
 - CVaR .
- Can easily extend this to more complicated time series models
 - AR+GARCH
 - Nonlinear generative models: e.g. LSTM (recurrent neural network)
- Can easily extend this to more complicated trading strategies, with multiple parameters.

Conclusion

- Optimizing trading strategy parameters on historical data invites overfitting.
- More robust to fit time series (not trading) models on historical data instead.
- Fitted time series model can be used to simulate arbitrary number of time series.
- Can find optimal trading parameters on simulated time series to arbitrary precision.

Thank you for your time!

www.qtscm.com

Twitter: @chanep Blog: epchan.blogspot.com